G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1902-03
~~191~~.
(Jhurdai)

File No. 253

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT. Palace
Management of the Jhurdai
Palaces ~~as to the Palace~~

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

Confl. Secy
5/6/03

Submitted to Dy Secy:

The Dewan wishes to see the Confidential file connected with a question relating to the management of Palace Muzarai Institutions at Mysore about which there was some correspondence a few years ago.

Intd. M. S.

File sent herewith

Intd L. A.
5.6.03

1 Rev: file 137 of 92-93

2 Book in original being an enclosure to P. Controller's letter no. 28 Dy 31.7.92

(2 copies) 3 Col: Campbell's D.O. Copy to the Dewan Dy 17th March 900

4 Col: Campbell's D.O. to Mr. Namboo Chettiar Dy 26.9.900 with the reply of the latter

5 P. Controller's D.O. 16 Dy 16.12.96 to Rev & Genl Secy

6 Papers in connection with the Palace Military charges debitable to State Military Pondgt.

7 Mun Secy's Memo Dy 17.5.99 re the Roman ~~Cth~~ Catholic Church - together with the Govt order C 1-2 Dy 15.10.99 & all the connected papers.

8 - Papers connected with the Jasdik grant to Sanjeevaraya Swamy temple -

9. Papers submitted to the Dewan with the Munzai Sec's note Dy 11. 4. 1900 as per as per accompanying list.

10 Copy of the G. G.'s memo Dy. 24. 2. 1900 to H. H the Maharani Regent.

Mysore Department

Note

Subject:- Management of the Mysore Institutions under the Palace Controller

Dated:-

Refers:- Palace Controller's Letter no 23 dated 15th August 1902.

The religious and Charitable Institutions shown in the accompanying list are now under the management of the Palace Controller.

2. It will be seen from the enclosed (b) Correspondence between the Guardians to His Highness the late Maharaja and the Secretary to the late Chief Commissioner, that the management of the Palace Mysore Institutions

b) marked I to VII in the pencil.

was formerly in the hands of
the Superintendent of the
Mysore District and was
transferred to the Guardian
in the year 1870, with the observation that
all the receipts and Expenditure
in connection with these Institutions should be continued
to be sent to the Chief Commissioner's
Office as heretofore.

3. In 1873, the orders
of the late Chief Commissioner
were solicited on a question
at issue between the Guardian
to H.H. the late Maharaja
and the Dy. Commr. of Mysore,
as to the Competency of the
latter Officer in the exercise
of his Civil or Revenue
jurisdiction to adjudicate
upon claims connected with

with the hereditary offices attached to certain temples placed under Palace management. The Chief Commr. thereupon (c) directed that the entire management of the temple, including the duty of deciding on claims to hereditary offices in them might remain in the hands of the guardians, who had authority to issue miscellaneous orders in connection with such offices, the right of appeal lying directly to the Chief Commr.

4. From 1873 to 1891, there are no references in this Office to show whether the Government in the Imperial Department interfered with

(c) Chief Comm's Pro no 3352 dated Rev 5-1 20th September 1873 (Paper marked VIII in the record bundle)

interment
with the management of
these Trusts and whether
there were any appeals to Govt
against the orders of the
Guardian before Rendition,
or of the Palace Controller after
Rendition. In January 1891,
however, (d) an order was issued
from Government, appointing
Ramanna, Police Inspector
of Srirangapatam, as
Temporary manager under
the Palace Officer, for charge
of temporary Trusts under
the management of the Palace
Department, his salary
viz Rs 85 per mensem, being
paid from the Surplus Funds
of the Trusts concerned.

(d) Pros no $\frac{10953-5}{1469}$ dated 8th January 1891.
(Paper marked ~~VIII~~ IX in red pencil)

There are many references in this office to these Trusts,

85. After the appointment
of the temporary Superintendent

In July 1891, under the orders of H. H. the late Maharaja, the Palace and the military charges, till then debitable to Palace, were transferred (c) to the State Budget with effect from the 1st June 1891 charges being adjusted annually or quarterly. After the appointment of a separate Surgeon Lieutenant in December 1891, there are annual reports in this office to show that

The Palace Controllers messrs Subbarayar and Seshagiri Rama Rao regularly visited and obtained the orders of Govt in the Surgeon Dept- important on questions relating to the affairs of that Surgeon Duties. This practice continued until April 1899,

Through in July 1891 the Surgeon charges till then debitable to Palace, were (c) transferred to the State Surgeon Budget, under the orders of H. H. the late Maharaja with effect from the 1st June 1891. This order also directed the transfer of certain military

Ero no 194–96 dated 1st July 1891 (paper marked in the accompanying)

military Charges to the
State military Budget,
the imperial and the military
Charges being adjusted
annually or quarterly as might
be found most convenient.

6. The accompanying
file no 137 of 1892-93 received
from the Revenue Branch,
shows that, after the issue
of the above order, in July 1891, the Palace Controller
submitted a statement of
the imperial Charges ~~for~~ for only about one year viz from
1st June 1891 to the 30th June 1892,
amounting to Rs 21800 and that
it was passed on to the (ft) (ft) See first page no
Govt Comptroller for 5-5-37-40 dated
adjustment. Since 1893, R. St 137-92
periodical accounts are 20th September 1893
regularly being rendered (Paper marked B
by the Palace Controller in the accompanying
to this Office. file)

7. From the enclosed

D.O. marked X in red pencil from Mr Lubbock, Palace Court, dated 15th March 1892,

it may be observed that Sir Sheshadri Iyer directed the late Anagrai Superintendent to take H. H's orders regarding the filling up of the appointment of Anagrai manager, which was rendered vacant owing to the death of the permanent incumbent Ramanna; and that H. H. Hanuman directed the Anagrai Supt to appoint Shivappa, Retired Sirdar, as Anagrai Inspector; and that H. H. was further pleased to direct that the Anagrai

Superintendent should
submit all important
progress papers to H. H. for
orders. In pursuance of the above
order (i) by Govt as
~~H H~~ order, Thimmappa
was appointed progress Supdt
on Rs 35 + 15.

(i) Vide Govt- order no 616-8
dated 31st March
1892
(paper marked XI in
red pencil).

From this as well
as from other correspondence
that took place every now
and then between the Palace
Controllers and the progress
Secretary, it is
observed that all important
questions in connection
with the progress Posters
under the Palace Controller's
management were submitted
to Govt in the progress Dept
by the Palace Controllers and orders
obtained.

After the appointment of mr V. N. Narasimha Iyengar as Salaar Controller, he ~~the appears to have~~, in some instances (j), communicated the orders of H. H. the Maharaani - Report to the Officers of certain Departments. Sir Shashadri Iyer took objection to such procedure on the part of mr V. N. Narasimha Iyengar and passed a memo (k) in Dec 1897 deprecating the measures.

(j) about which please see D.O. dated 10th Nov 1897 (marked XII in red pencil)

Copy of the memo marked XIII in red

(χ) Letter no 58 dated 27th April 1899 (paper marked C in the accompanying file).

Q. no C1-2 dated 15th October 1899 (paper marked D in accompanying file)

Q. The subject ~~of the~~ again came up ~~pursuant to correspondence~~ ~~first cited~~ in April 1899, when the Palace Controller, Mr. V. N. Narasimha Iyengar, (χ) wrote to the late Mugrami Secretary informing him that Her Highness the Maharani Regent has was pleased to raise the grant to the Roman Catholic Church from Rs 30 to Rs 50 per measure, with effect from the 1st March 1899. Formal (χ) orders of Govt were however issued ~~later~~ on this, sanctioning the increased grant from the State Mugrami Budget. But before issuing the orders,

Sir

Sir Sheshadri Iyer
drafted a memo (N) that
Mr. V. N. Narasimha Iyengar
acted outside his jurisdiction
and observing that there
was an important principle
involved, as the power
to obtain H. H's orders
in many matter involved
the duty of making a full
representation to H. H. of
all facts having a bearing
upon the decision and
that he could not believe
that Mr. Narasimha Iyengar
realized the Elementary
principle. A proposed
draft reply (O) to Mr. V-N. Narasimha Iyengar
was also prepared
and this, as well as the memo, together with the previous papers,
were sent by Sir Sheshadri
Iyer to Col: Bamfylde
Private Secy,

(N) Paper marked XIV

(O) Paper marked XV.

(h) Paper marked XVI.

(h) Communication with D.O. dated 19th May 1899 from the Collector's in the matter.

(v) marked XVII

Col: Cumballe (v) in his reply D.O. dated 20th May 1899 for reasons stated therein was of opinion that it might appear harsh to make the Salaar Controller as suggested in the memo withdraw his letter and that the proposed drop- of reply seemed to assume too absolutely that he had been knowingly acting outside a jurisdiction, the limits of which had already (Col: Cumballe) been defined. He desired to know the procedure observed till then.

Was [illegible]

In the meanwhile,

the one Narasimha Iyengar
wrote another D.O. (R) (dated (R) Paper marked
28th September 1899) to XVIII.
the late Mysore Lawyer
(in reply to the latter's D.O. about
the submission of the
proposed revised Tasdik
Patties of certain Temples &
under the Palace Controller)
that he must submit
the Tasdik Patties to H.H.
before despatching them to
Govt. One Sreenivasachariar
in reply, stated in his
D.O (S) dated 8th October 1899, (S) marked XIX.
that he was directed
to inform that if
there should be any matters
in the proposed Tasdik

Patti

Patti requiring the orders of H. H., the Dewan would submit them in due course and obtain H. H's orders, and that he (the Dewan-Sirkar Lyengar) might send the Swadik Patties with a note of his own opinion. He accordingly submitted the Swadik Patties to Govt. with his own opinion as called for.

The question of the Sree Parakalaswami changing his residence temporarily to Nanjaraj Bahadur's Chattram appears to have come up in the meanwhile before

Govt.

Sir Sheshadri Iyer and
the question of something
some allowance to a
minor temple seems to have
also been discussed. Sir
Sheshadri Iyer seems to
have represented all these
circumstances to H. H.
the ~~Maharaja~~
an order (9) to the manager
Secretary on the 19th
November 1849 that the
Sri Parakalaswami might
be permitted to live in
the Manjaraj Bahadur's
Chattram and that the order
something some cash
allowance to Sanjeevaraj
Arasu's temple might
issue. In the 3rd para of
the order ~~of this~~ Sir Sheshadri Iyer stated thus :-
Same

(9) marked XX

"H. H. has graciously
"consented to grant
"you monthly interviews
"about Imperial matters -
"and H. H. agrees
"that Imperial matters
"above the Palace Courts
"powers as District
"Imperial Officer, shd
"be submitted to
"Court. These, after
"taking my views, you
"will personally submit
"to H. H., when I am
"myself unable to do
"so."

"Part of D. O. Correspondence"

D. O. Communications

+ Seem to have been
accordingly sent. There
are

no copies of them in the Office, but Mr. Seshiyasachariar has noted below the Dewan's orders "D.O. Communications are sent".

Mr. V. N. Narasimha Iyengar Huzurpore submitted (a) on the 24th July 1900 a memorandum (perhaps sent to the Private Secy to H.H. or to H.H. - to whom it is not known) explaining the matters from the very Commencement for reasons stated therein and urging that the management of the Palace Zenana Department should be entirely left to the Palace authorities. His chief argument was that in 1892 H.H. the late Maharaja ruled that the cost of these Palace Zenana Institutions should be wholly

(a) This was sent by the Palace Controller at Nagpur Camp for Dy Secy's information & reference of which copy marked XXI

Wholly supported by the Govt-

Vide Govt order no 3191-2½
R Fr 137-92
dated 29th August 1892, that
no Change was made in the
Scope and extent of the Palace
management; that the Durgai
Dept was, as he conceived,
placed in the hands of the
Palace authorities on parallel
lines to those of the Palace
Barr, Body Guard, Band and
Saluting Battery; that in
the latter Depts, the Govt-
military authorities did not
interfere with the details
and that the certified
expenditure incurred
therein was duly debited to
Govt.

marked Col: Carnbulle thereupon
(2)
wrote a D.O. to ~~the Govt Surgeon~~
Sir

Sir Shashadri Iyer on

the 23rd March 1900, that

from the correspondence

that took place between the

Guardian to H. H: the late

Maharaja and the Judges

to the Chief Court, Her Highness

observed that the Judges

were the religious Trustees of H. H

the Maharaja, rather than

of general public interest;

that the only stipulation

made at the time of transferring

to the Palace

their management in 1870

was that accounts of

Expenditure should be rendered to Govt,

such

~~the~~ expenditure being limited

to the funds available;

and that Her Highness therefore

desired him (Col: Cumballe)

to say that she had a special

desire

that no change should
take place during the time
of her Regency, so that
she might be able to exercise
herself and then transmit
to her son the same powers
of direction and control
over Palace Mugrai affairs
through the Palace Court.

The late Mugrai then
thereupon submitted a
(W) to the Dewan
Memo on the 11th April 1900
submitting all the
original Correspondence,
and stating that at the
time of transferring the
Mugrai Management to the Palace in
1870, the Chief Commissioner
reserved to himself the
right of entertaining &
approving

W) The original marked
XXIII

against the orders passed
by the Guardians in regard
to the affairs of the Mugrai
Lands transferred to the
latter's management ; & Hence
subsequent to the Partition
the Lands in question were
placed under the management
of the Palace Controller,
his powers in this respect
being the same as those
exercised by the Al-Mugrai
Officers, whose orders
were appealable to Govt.
in the Mugrai Dept.

No formal orders have yet
been passed on this memo —

The Palace Controller
in his present Communication
No 23 dated 15th August
1902

that
states certain transfers
of Parbatheswara have been
effected under the orders
of H. H. the Maharaja &
Head- Krishnaraja (who
stands appointed as
magisterial Inspector of
the Mysore Sth-) will be
relieved ~~on~~ at the end
of this month- He adds
that, as stated in his
previous letter No 17 dated
28th July last, the Party of
the Parbatheswara of the Sri
Prasanna Krishnaswami
Temple cannot be spared
for the post of the magisterial
Inspector — The P. C's
previous letter referred to
above was submitted

to the Dewan, who has to been

pleased to order as follows: —

"Please explain how

Savings may be applied

to meet the loss of Krishna

Iyengar". xBxx

Allowances payable to Muzrai Institutions under the [illegible] Palace Controller, Mysore.

No.	Name of Institution	Amount		
		Rs.	A.	P.
1	His Highness the Maharaja's Chattram in Mysore	17,0[illegible]	[illegible]	
2	His Highness the Maharaja's Sanskrit College in Mysore	7 222	15	[illegible]
3	Sri Prasanna Krishnaswami temple ..	13 722		1
4	Varahaswami temple	[illegible]29	0	0
5	Lakshmiramanaswamy temple	2,019	[illegible]	0
6	Trimeswaraswami temple ...	2,01[illegible]	0	0
7	Chamundeswari temple on the Chamundi Hill ...	11 [illegible]3[illegible]	0	0
8	Chandramauleswara temple ...	[illegible]	[illegible]	0
9	Manonmaneswara temple	727		[illegible]
10	Chamanpatti Deveswara temple .. .	[illegible]	[illegible]	0
11	Karikal Kasi Visweswara temple	[illegible]1[illegible]		0
12	Alanahalli Muddu Krishneswara swami temple	[illegible]0	0	
13	Bettada Padagudi	[illegible]	[illegible]	0
14	Panchagavi Matt	[illegible]2	0	[illegible]
15	Jayadakatte Matt	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
16	Subbarava Doss temple at Hura Agrahar .	[illegible]	[illegible]	
17	Temple in E Chetambara Iyer's Chattram	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
18	Devanatha Lala's Dharmasala ...			[illegible]
19	Bhavana Temple at the foot of the Chamundi Hill	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
20	Sri Sringeri Matt	[illegible]		[illegible]
21	Jangamvadi Matt at Benares . ..	[illegible]		
22	Sundry temples at Benares (Pandits & Sastris ... ...)			
23	Roman Catholic Church at Mysore ...	[illegible]		
24	[illegible]	[illegible]		